

4606 / Noviana et al. / Interpreting L Library

Workflow **Publication**

Submission **Review**

Copyediting **Production**

Round 1

Round 1 Status
Submission accepted.

Notifications

- [Your submission ha...](#) 2024-05-16 06:46 PM
- [Your submission ha...](#) 2024-05-20 10:21 AM
- [Your submission ha...](#) 2024-09-08 08:58 AM
- [Next steps for publi...](#) 2024-09-22 11:36 PM

Reviewer's Attachments Q Search

No Files

Revisions Q Search Upload File

▶  14580	June	Other
Turnitin_Interpreting	1,	
Legal Rights	2024	
Disparities in Judicial Treatment of Children Born Out of Wedlock in East Java, Indonesia-dikompresi.pdf		
▶  14578	June	Other
STATEMENT OF ORIGINALITY.pdf	1,	
	2024	
▶  14574	June	Other
CERTIFICATE OF PROOFREADING.pdf	1,	
	2024	
▶  14573	Revisi	June Article
Review	1,	Text
FIX_Interpreting	2024	
Legal Rights Disparities in Judicial Treatment of Children Born Out of Wedlock.docx		

Review Discussions Add discussion

Name	From	Last Reply
Guide for Revising Your Article to Meet the Latest Journal Template and Policies	198902022016081218	-
	2024-05-20 10:33 AM	
Information	198902022016081218	-
	2024-07-13 07:29 AM	



Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Your submission has been sent for review

1 pesan

Ridwan Arifin <ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id>

16 Mei 2024 pukul 18.46

Kepada: Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Dear Lia Noviana,

I am pleased to inform you that an editor has reviewed your submission, *Interpreting Legal Rights: Disparities in Judicial Treatment of Children Born Out of Wedlock in East Java, Indonesia*, and has decided to send it for peer review. An editor will identify qualified reviewers who will provide feedback on your submission.

This journal conducts double-anonymous peer review. The reviewers will not see any identifying information about you or your co-authors. Similarly, you will not know who reviewed your submission, and you will not hear from the reviewers directly. You will hear from us with feedback from the reviewers and information about the next steps.

Please note that sending the submission to peer review does not guarantee that it will be published. We will consider the reviewers' recommendations before deciding to accept the submission for publication. You may be asked to make revisions and respond to the reviewers' comments before a final decision is made.

If you have any questions, please contact me from your submission dashboard.

Ridwan Arifin



Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Your submission has been reviewed and we encourage you to submit revisions

1 pesan

Ridwan Arifin <ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id>

20 Mei 2024 pukul 10.21

Kepada: Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Dear Lia Noviana,

Your submission *Interpreting Legal Rights: Disparities in Judicial Treatment of Children Born Out of Wedlock in East Java, Indonesia* has been reviewed and we would like to encourage you to submit revisions that address the reviewers' comments. An editor will review these revisions and if they address the concerns adequately, your submission may be accepted for publication.

The reviewers' comments are included at the bottom of this email. Please respond to each point in the reviewers' comments and identify what changes you have made. If you find any of the reviewer's comments to be unjustified or inappropriate, please explain your perspective.

When you have completed your revisions, you can upload revised documents along with your response to the reviewers' comments at your [submission dashboard](#). If you have been logged out, you can login again with the username `lianov_0312`.

If you have any questions, please contact me from your [submission dashboard](#).

We look forward to receiving your revised submission.

Kind regards,

Ridwan Arifin

The following comments were received from reviewers.

Reviewer 1:

Recommendation: Revisions Required



Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Guide for Revising Your Article to Meet the Latest Journal Template and Policies

1 pesan

Ridwan Arifin <ridwan.arifin@mail.unnes.ac.id>

20 Mei 2024 pukul 10.35

Kepada: Lia Noviana <noviana.lia@iainponorogo.ac.id>

Guide for Revising Your Article to Meet the Latest Journal Template and Policies

Dear Author,

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to our journal. We appreciate your contribution to academic research. However, before we can proceed with the review process, we kindly ask you to revise your article to adhere to our latest article template and journal policies.

Please find below a guide to help you revise your article effectively:

1. Formatting According to the Latest Article Template:

- Download the latest article template provided by the journal.
- Ensure that your manuscript follows the formatting guidelines precisely, including margins, spacing, font size, and style.
- Pay close attention to section headings, subheadings, and the arrangement of different sections within the article.

2. Language and Style:

- Verify that your manuscript is written in clear and concise English.
- Check for grammatical errors, typos, and punctuation mistakes.
- Maintain a consistent writing style throughout the article.
- Please add your proofread document/certificate as supplementary file

3. Citation and References:

4. Ensure that all in-text citations and references are formatted according to the journal's citation style (Chicago Manual Style 17th edition full note, we suggest to use Mendeley)
5. Double-check the accuracy and completeness of your reference list, including author names, publication years, titles, and page numbers.

6. Figures, Tables, and Graphs:

- Review all figures, tables, and graphs included in your manuscript.
- Make sure they are numbered sequentially and referred to in the text.
- Ensure that all figures are of high quality and clearly labeled with appropriate captions.

5. Ethical Considerations:

- Confirm that your research complies with ethical standards and guidelines, including those related to human or animal subjects, conflicts of interest, and informed consent.
- Provide necessary ethical approval or clearance information, if applicable.

6. Plagiarism Check:

- Conduct a plagiarism check to ensure that your manuscript is original and does not contain any plagiarized content.
- Properly cite and attribute sources for any borrowed ideas, text, or data.
- Please add as supplementary file the result of similarity check, no more than 20%

7. Compliance with Journal Policies:

- Familiarize yourself with the journal's policies regarding authorship, submission, peer review, and publication ethics.

- Ensure that your manuscript meets all the submission requirements specified by the journal. See <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/journals/lslr/guide>

Once you have completed the revisions, please resubmit your revised manuscript along with a detailed response to the reviewers' comments, if applicable. If you have any questions or need further assistance, feel free to contact the editorial office.

Thank you for your attention to these matters. We look forward to receiving your revised manuscript.

Sincerely,

Ridwan Arifin

Managing Editor

Reply to this comment at [#4606 Noviana et al.](#) or [unsubscribe](#) from emails sent by [Lex Scientia Law Review](#).



Lesrev Template.docx

78K

Title of Article in English (maximum 20 word)

First Author ^a✉, Second Author ^b, Third Author ^c, Fourth Author ^d, etc.

^a First Author Affiliation, Country, Email, ORCID Link

^b Second Author Affiliation, Country, Email, ORCID Link

^c Third Author Affiliation, Country, Email, ORCID Link

^d Fourth Author Affiliation, Country, Email, ORCID Link

^e Fifth Author Affiliation, Country, Email, ORCID Link

(Maximum five authors, and we highly recommend for international collaboration of authorship)

✉ Corresponding email: *insert corresponding email only*

Abstract

Abstracts are written in English and Indonesia and preferably not more than 250 words. The abstract should be clear, concise, and descriptive. This abstract should provide a brief introduction to the problem, objective of paper, followed by a statement regarding the methodology and a brief summary of results. Abstract should be written in Formal English, consist of: research purposes, main problems, method, findings, and conclusion. Abstract should be standalone means that there is no citation on Abstract. Abstract should directly explain concerning to the topic discussed. Abstract also should reflect the whole content of manuscript/paper.

KEYWORDS *3-5 related keywords, separated with comma (,)*

Introduction

The Introduction part should contain at least five previous studies concerning to the topic. At this part, author should emphasize the urgency of the research, as well as the significant of the research. Authors also have to explore and combine some previous studies. It is important for reader to know

the uniqueness, novelty, urgency, and significance of research. Most of reader is non-native English speaking, therefore, Author should use a formal simple language, as well as, for international reader, author also have to add and improve some global perspectives.

The introduction should be clear and provide the issue to be discussed in the manuscript. Before the objective, authors should provide an adequate background, and very short literature survey in order to record the existing solutions, to show which is the best of previous studies, to show the main limitation of the previous studies, to show what do you hope to achieve (to solve the limitation), and to show the scientific merit or novelties of the paper.

Citation should be in footnote model. The Authors have to use Mendeley citation software, and the citation model should be **Chicago Manual Style (Fullnote)** automatically by Mendeley.¹ Author should use at least 30 references (the latest in the past five years). The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author.² At the end of the paragraph, the author/s should end with a comment on the significance concerning identification of the issue and objective of the research.³

Minimum length of manuscript is 9000 words including footnote (exclude abstract and references).⁴ All submitted manuscripts are read by the editorial staff. Those manuscripts evaluated by editors to be inappropriate to journal criteria are rejected promptly without external review. Manuscripts evaluated to be of potential interest to our readership are sent to double blind reviewers. The editors then make a decision based on the reviewer's recommendation from among several possibilities: rejected, require major revision, need minor revision, or accepted. The Editor-in-Chief of the *Lex*

¹ Sahira Jati Pratiwi, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari, "The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718>. The footnotes used in references in this journal are not only used as source credit for the reference, but it is highly recommended for the author to write some notes, arguments, or brief reviews in the footnotes. Thus, footnotes are not only limited to a reference source, but also notes from the author. See also Aprila Niravita, "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0," *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509>; Aulia Vaya Rahmatika, "Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions," *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86, <https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840>.

² Sanapiah Faisal, *Format Penelitian Sosial* (Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005).

³ Jody Raphael, *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquaintance Rape Crisis* (Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013).

⁴ Anggoro Yulianto, "Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia," *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191> 70.

Scientia Law Review has the right to decide which manuscripts submitted to the journal should be published.⁵

Submitted manuscripts will generally be reviewed by two or more experts who will be asked to evaluate whether the manuscript is scientifically sound and coherent, whether it duplicates already published work, and whether or not the manuscript is sufficiently clear for publication. The Editors will reach a decision based on these reports and, where necessary, they will consult with members of the Editorial Board. The author is responsible for the readability of the manuscript and all writing errors. In certain cases, the editor will ask for valid proof from the author that the manuscript has been checked through a professional proof-reader.

The method is written in descriptive and should provide a statement regarding the methodology of the research. This method as much as possible to give an idea to the reader through the methods used. Both Research and Review Article should explain the method. For the research article it is clear the method should describe the location of the study, the data collection method, and how the data were analyzed. Meanwhile, in the Review Article, the method is written descriptively regarding the topic being analyzed, what theories and laws are used to analyze the topic and the limitations of the study.

Result & Discussion (title of Result & Discussion should not be used)

This section is the most important section of your article. The analysis or results of the research should be clear and concise. The results should summarize (scientific) findings rather than providing data in great detail. Please highlight differences between your results or findings and the previous publications by other researchers.

A. First Sub-Title

Following main headings should be provided in the manuscript while preparing. Tables and Figures are presented center and cited in the manuscript. The figures should be clearly readable and at least have a resolution of 300 DPI (Dots Per Inch) for good printing quality. Table made with the open model (without the vertical lines) as shown below:

TABLE 1. Cross Tabulation

Category Correctional Officer Performance Level		Total
Low	High	

⁵ Prasasti Dyah Nugraheni, "The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: How Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?," *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76, <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153>.

Level category leadership style	Low	1 50%	1 50%	2 100%
	High	0 0	18 100%	18 100%
Total		1 5%	19 95%	20 100%

Sources: Authors, 2021 (edited)

Each table should be explained properly with standing argument and legal analysis.

B. Second Sub-Title, etc.

.....

Conclusion

Conclusion contains a description that should answer the objectives of research. Provide a clear and concise conclusion. Do not repeat the Abstract or simply describe the results of the research. Give a clear explanation regarding the possible application and/or suggestions related to the research findings.

References

Please automatically insert bibliography. The direct and valid link for all web pages or online news should be provided. For Laws and Regulation can be written separately and manually (if the author has difficulty writing down the source of the laws and regulations using Mendeley). The source of the laws and regulations must be accompanied by the source link of the laws and the number of the state gazette. For journal articles, it must be accompanied by a valid DOI link, or if there is no DOI, it must include a link to the intended article.

Faisal, Sanapiah. *Format Penelitian Sosial*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada, 2005.
 Niravita, Aprila. "Social Injustice in the Industrial Revolution 4.0." *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 1, no. 2 (2020): 164.

<https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v1i2.36509>.

Nugraheni, Prasasti Dyah. “The New Face of Cyberbullying in Indonesia: How Can We Provide Justice to the Victims?” *The Indonesian Journal of International Clinical Legal Education* 3, no. 1 (2021): 57–76. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijicle.v3i1.43153>.

Pratiwi, Sahira Jati, Steven Steven, and Adinda Destaloka Putri Permatasari. “The Application of E-Court as an Effort to Modernize the Justice Administration in Indonesia: Challenges & Problems.” *Indonesian Journal of Advocacy and Legal Services* 2, no. 1 (2020): 39–56. <https://doi.org/10.15294/ijals.v2i1.37718>.

Rahmatika, Aulia Vaya. “Violence on Women and Children: Background, Effects, and Solutions.” *Semarang State University Undergraduate Law and Society Review* 1, no. 1 (2021): 69–86. <https://doi.org/10.15294/lsr.v1i1.49840>.

Raphael, Jody. *Rape Is Rape: How Denial, Distortion, and Victim Blaming Are Fueling a Hidden Acquaintance Rape Crisis*. Chicago: Lawrence Hill Books, 2013.

Yulianto, Anggoro. “Cybersecurity Policy and Its Implementation in Indonesia.” *Law Research Review Quarterly* 7, no. 1 (2021): 69–82. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/lrrq.v7i1.43191> 70.

Acknowledgment

Contains acknowledgments to funding institutions, and/or individuals who have assisted in conducting research and writing manuscripts. Recognize those who helped in the research, especially funding supporter of your research. Include individuals who have assisted you in your study: Advisors, Financial support, or may other parties have involved on the research.

Funding Information

Please provide information for funding assistance. If there is no funding assistance, please type: None

Conflicting Interest Statement

Please state any conflicting interests of this publication and research. If there is no, please type: The authors state that there is no conflict of interest in the publication of this article.

History of Article

Submitted :

Revised :

Accepted :

Published :